

Review of Full Papers Form

2012 Advancing the STEM Agenda Conference, University of Wisconsin-Stout

Paper ID _____

CRITERIA	Reviewer's Score (1-5, 5 best)	Reviewer's Comments
Is the paper relevant to the conference theme and addresses at least one of the Call for Paper topics		
Contribution to knowledge for STEM Education or practice-Do the author(s) present innovative ideas, quality in education concepts or relevant research for the practice of STEM education or the STEM Agenda?		
Overall organization of paper?		
Readability (spelling, grammar and clarity)		
Is the literature review adequate for the topic (taking into account the length of the paper)		
Do the conclusions follow logically from the literature review, assessment and knowledge presented?		
Completeness of assessment (design, analysis and interpretation)		
Was the Paper Guidelines for formatting the paper followed? (limited to 10 pages)		
Total Score		